

Bradley Williams
Construction Option
2/24/2014
Advisor: Ed Gannon

Thesis Project Proposal for Spring 2014

Taylor Hall – George Mason University



Photo Courtesy of Gensler

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	1
Technical Analyses	3-9
Green Roof Addition.....	3
Stick-Built Framing vs. Infinity Structural System.....	4
Façade Re-design for Prevention through Design Application.....	6
Prevention through Design (Critical Industry Research).....	8
Conclusion	9
Weighted Grading and Completion Schedule for Spring 2014.....	9-10
Appendix	11
Breadth Studies.....	12
<i>Structural</i> : Multi-purpose Room Structural Analysis for Green Roof Application...	12
<i>Architectural</i> : Altering Sill Heights for the incorporation of PTD.....	13
Interview Questions Draft.....	14

Executive Summary

This document serves as a proposal of the research work that is to be completed during the Spring 2014 semester and serves as a contract with the Architectural Engineering faculty of Pennsylvania State University. Four construction related analyses will be conducted on Taylor Hall, a 70,000 SF dormitory housing 295 freshmen students at George Mason University in Fairfax, VA. In addition to the depth analyses, two non-construction related breadth analyses will investigate further issues with a related depth.

The largest analysis pertains to the addition of a green roof above a multi-purpose room on the first floor of the dorm. Since GMU is making a large stride towards sustainability and educating its students in such practices, the green roof was an important feature of the building to the owner. Because of budget restraints, the green roof was the first item to be removed from the building. Research will be done to see how expensive a green roof addition would be and how the installation of the system would affect the critical path of construction. A structural breadth would be done to investigate if the current structural system would allow such an installation and what would be needed for added reinforcement if it doesn't.

The current structural system in place uses prefabricated load bearing cold-formed stud walls and is said to be a quicker alternative compared to a concrete structural system. Since this system is typically intended for larger buildings and has been causing issues with permit approval, the novel idea of stick-built framing will be analyzed for application as Taylor Hall's structural system. This will involve schedule and cost analysis in a comparison of the systems.

Considering job-site and student safety, an idea will be specifically applied to Taylor Hall through the implementation of a façade re-design. This re-design will raise sill heights to reduce the appropriate

OSHA recommended fall safety height and analyze the cost implications of this application. In addition, a specific job-site tour itinerary and recommendation plan will be developed to assist in maintaining student safety throughout the construction process.

As the critical industry issue analysis, "Prevention through Design" will be researched and investigated for application to Taylor Hall. This is especially important due to its practicality in this particular application and the fact that students are accessing the job site weekly for tours. In addition to the research done on fall prevention through design, an architectural breadth will be completed to analyze the Mechanical access points throughout Taylor Hall for safe height access and security measures to insure safety from student tamper.

To finalize the report is a short conclusion outlining the work that will be completed in the next semester. Attached is a schedule of when each analysis will be completed along with an assigned grading weight based on the complexity of each topic.

Ultimately, each topic works towards achieving the goals of the owner and will create ideas that may be used on future campus projects and dormitories. Those goals being:

- Increase the awareness for sustainable design and ideas
- Reduce the cost of construction while maintain quality
- Investigate new ways to increase job-site safety
- Reduce the risk of injury for construction workers, future students, and maintenance personnel

Technical Analyses

Green Roof Addition

Taylor Hall, being a green building and an educational opportunity to teach freshman about sustainability, was originally intended to include a green roof above a first floor multi-purpose room. It is important to the owner that George Mason University strives towards a green future with its buildings, but after the building was set to be over budget, it was the first item to be eliminated.

Green roofs provide several benefits to the building, including water run-off elimination, reduction of glare into the above rooms, and insulation properties for the space below it. For this building in particular, the green roof provides a learning opportunity for the students who reside inside it. After learning from the design-builder that it was removed from the original design, it provided an opportunity to investigate how adding the green roof would affect the bottom line of the project.

The addition of the green roof over the multi-purpose room would be analyzed for cost and schedule implications by completing a detailed estimate and schedule of installation. Information would be pulled from literature sources as well as interviews with Balfour Beatty Construction team members who have experience with green roof installation.

In addition to the aforementioned analyses, a breadth topic analysis will investigate the current structural components supporting the roof to see if it can adequately support a green roof system without further reinforcement. This will be discussed further in the Appendix.

Expected outcomes from this analysis are that the green roof can be completed without affecting the critical path of Taylor Hall and will create the educational and sustainable environment desired by the owner. This will, however, come with a price which may or may not be offset pending the results of the technical analysis topic.

Stick-Built Framing vs. Infinity Structural System

One of the original value engineering ideas implemented on the project was the replacement of the concrete structural system with an Infinity Structural System. The Infinity Structural System is comprised of load bearing, cold formed metal stud walls that are prefabricated off site and installed at a relatively quick rate. These walls support a special metal deck that has more surface area for load bearing and a standard concrete slab to top off each elevation.

Through an interview with specialty sub-contractor, Miller & Long, the Infinity Structural System can be set in place at a rate nearly three times faster than a concrete structural system. A secondary interview with a Balfour Beatty Construction superintendant conversely stated that it actually causes more problems than it solves and that it takes roughly the same time as a concrete system.

The owner is partial to the Infinity Structural System due to its recent application and success on another campus project nearing completion, but given the scale of application, it may not have been the best choice for Taylor Hall. Furthermore, because of its complex design, the system is causing critical delays as permit approvals are log jamming further construction.

A popular topic in the DC metropolitan area, and another value engineering proposal for Taylor Hall, included the use of a prefabricated wood framing structural system, commonly referred to as “stick-built” construction. This system is primarily used for residential applications and buildings not exceeding 5 stories in height, nominating Taylor Hall as a perfect use of this system.


Since the system is prefabricated similarly to the Infinity Structural System, but does not include concrete pours on decks, the schedule reduction characteristics of stick-built construction will be analyzed. Secondly, the cost of the stick-built system will be compared to the current system. These will be done by completing a cost estimate of system replacement and gathering scheduling data via

interviews from specialty sub-contractors currently using stick-built construction methods. A cost and schedule comparison will be presented to conclude the analysis.

Based on research already completed, the benefits of the stick-built structural system are predicted to outweigh the benefits of the Infinity Structural System, especially when considering its application. These benefits will be primarily in schedule reduction rather than cost since it is still prefabricated.

Façade Re-design for Prevention through Design Application

Since Taylor Hall is a project at a public university that is consistently in the news, it is critical to maintain the highest standards of safety in any campus event. The risk of a serious injury or death from a fall on a job site is one of the top four accidents to occur yearly according to OSHA. That being said, it is vital to minimize the risk of falling at all costs



Because Taylor Hall uses the Infinity Structural System, it is plausible to propose that raising the sill height to the OSHA regulated height for fall safety would assist in reducing the risk of falling on a job site. This will, however, surely come with a cost. The cost of raising the sill height for all elevated openings of Taylor Hall will be analyzed and the details behind fall safety's importance to George Mason University will be detailed.

Furthermore, an investigation into student interaction with the job site will be completed. This will specifically be done by reviewing the current tour itinerary and providing a detailed list of suggestions on how to implement a safer student interaction, especially in regards to the risk of falling.

Prevention through Design (Critical Industry Research)

After the attendance of the PACE Roundtable break-out session in early November, titled Prevention through Design, it was decided that it was particularly necessary for Taylor Hall to incorporate this emerging industry topic. Prevention through design, or PTD, is safety conscience design incorporated into the project to protect workers during the construction phase, the inhabitants of the building, and the facility maintenance personnel who will need to access controls of the building.

From the roundtable discussion, the main problem preventing this topic from being included in every project's contract is as follows: Prevention through design is not commonly incorporated into many projects primarily due to the insufficiency in knowledge of safety related issues from a design professional's prospective and the lack of involvement of construction team and facility managers in the design phase of a project.

Taylor Hall is a perfect application of PTD for several reasons. Since Taylor Hall utilizes a Design-Build delivery system and GMU has a department of facility maintenance in place already, the design of the building can be altered to suit their needs for safety. Secondly, it is particularly of importance for George Mason University to have a safe job site due to weekly tours given to students and knowing that the dorm will house freshman students, who may not be in the most responsible age group when it comes to concern for safety.

The goal of incorporating PTD in Taylor Hall is to create a safer environment for construction workers, future students, and maintenance personnel. This will be done by researching common application ideas and their general effectiveness in preventing injury.

With this research topic comes the addition of an architectural breadth to see how the new safety features will affect the appearance of the building. This is detailed further in the Appendix.


Conclusion

Through the four analyses mentioned in this paper, it is believed that George Mason University will have better building in place through value-added decisions, safer construction practices and design, and more constructible options for installation of critical path items. This will help ensure the owner's continued investment of interest in hiring Balfour Beatty Construction as a Design-Builder of construction manager for their projects on campus.

The above analyses and later mentioned breadth analyses will be accomplished over the course of the Spring 2014 semester and weighted based on the complexity of research and time involved in completion of each. Below is an outline and schedule of when the analyses will be completed and how they are to be weighted.

Overview of Grading Weights

Analysis (Including Breadth)	Percentage of grade	Start Date	Completion Date
Green Roof Addition <i>Structural Breadth</i>	25%	2-3-2014	2-19-2014
Stick-Built Framing Comparison	25%	2-24-2014	3-7-2014
Façade Re-design for Prevention through Design Application	15%	3-18-2014	3-29-2014
Prevention through Design <i>Architectural Breadth</i>	35%	1-13-2014	1-31-2014



Name: Brad Williams
 Project: Taylor Hall - GMU
 Advisor: Ed Gannon
 Option: Construction
 Date: 2/24

Submittal Schedule and Milestones
 Prevention Through Design Breadth: Architectural 7-Feb
 Green Roof Addition Breadth: Structural 21-Feb
 Stick-Built Framing Analysis PtD Façade Redesign and Itinerary 28-Feb
 Final Report and Presentation Thesis Presentations 7-Mar
 ABET Assessment Chart/Reflection CPEP Update and Survey 28-Mar
 11-Apr
 16-Apr
 25-Apr
 25-Apr

Appendix

Breadth Topics

Structural: Multi-purpose Room Structural Analysis for Green Roof Application

Within the depth analysis looking into the addition of a green roof, a breadth topic will analyze the structural integrity of the roof below it. This will specifically show whether the current structural system of the roof of the first floor multi-purpose room (K-series Joists) is capable of supporting the future addition of a green roof without further reinforcement. An investigation to metal decking, beam sizing and footing sizing will also be conducted as necessary. Existing structural members, including columns and footings, will be resized if they are deemed inadequate for the new load. If further structural reinforcement is required, the spacing of the joists, columns, and footings will be altered. If changes are to be made based on my investigation, a cost analysis of structural system upgrades will be calculated so that the desired green roof can be applied.

This analysis will be done by accessing notes from AE 404, CE 397 and by performing a simple structural analysis of the system in place with the new dead loads of the vegetation. Using beam tables K-Series Joists and RS Means, new reinforcement can be sized and priced to meet the necessary load requirements. Concluding the investigation, a report of any structural changes will be presented along with any associated cost changes.

Architectural: Investigation of Mechanical equipment access for the incorporation of Prevention through Design

In order to decrease the risk of falls for workers and future maintenance staff, the mechanical equipment access points throughout the building and mechanical room will be analyzed. Considering factors such as access height, the use of a ladder in a high traffic area, and ease of access in general, high risk locations will be investigated and new solutions for relocation will be proposed. To be considered for relocation, access points must be greater than 8 feet above the finished floor level since anything greater will require a ladder. Access points in the entrance and common areas will also be considered due to their proximity to high volumes of moving students. Having easily accessible maintenance locations for mechanical equipment will greatly relieve pressure on George Mason University staff and further influence Prevention through Design. The findings of this investigation, along with any mechanical access modifications (marked on drawings), will be presented in a report.

Interview Questions Draft for Prevention through Design:

Balfour Beatty Construction – Assistant Project Manager

(1) Q: What current fall risks do you see with the design of Taylor Hall?

(2) Q: What fall protection methods are being applied to the façade of Taylor Hall during construction?

(3) Q: How many students are accessing the site during a given week?

(4) Q: How many construction workers access a standard dorm room during the course of a week?

(5) Q: What path do you typically take students into the building when giving tours?

(6) Q: How close do students typically get to a wall surface or ledge during the tours? OR Rate students fall exposure on a scale of 1 to 10 during a typical tour.